The Effect Of Seismic Coefficients Direction On The Axial Force Of Rock Bolts In Steep Ground

Saeed Mojtabazadeh Hasanlouyi¹, Mohsen Mohammadi², Amir Hossein Mohammad Hassani³, Mohammad Taghi Emami Fard⁴, Mahdi Tahmasebi⁵

^{1,2,3,4,5}Department of Civil Engineering, Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran.

Corresponding e-mail address: mojtabazadeh@chmail.ir

Abstract— In this study, through Pseudo-static analysis with the help of finite element modeling software phase², effect direction of seismic coefficients on axial force of rock bolts mounted in circular tunnels and it changes in different conditions evaluated. The circular tunnels are modeled with diameter of 6 meters and in depths of 10, 25 and 35 meters in the Shale rocks. The tunnels are supported by end anchored rock bolts with length of 3 meters and spacing of 2 meters. Also the ground surface modeled with the dip of 15 degrees and the earthquake magnitudes of 6.5, 7. 7.5 and 8 on the Richter scale considered. The results of the evaluations show that with increasing the earthquake magnitude, the axial force of rock bolts variations has increased because the total displacement around tunnels has been increased. Also in steep surface, the alignment of horizontal acceleration of seismic coefficient with steep direction and the same direction of the vertical seismic coefficient with gravitational force had resulted in the highest variance of rock bolts' axial force.

Keywords— Axial force; Rock bolt; Steep ground; Tunnel; Phase²

I. INTRODUCTION

Tunnels are vital underground structures that can withstand earthquakes. Although underground structures, in comparison to surface structures are of high safety regarding seismic waves, historical evidence and earthquake reports show that these structures are vulnerable to waves which result from earthquake and outbreak of damage and destruction is possible.

One of the ways to stabilizing of tunnels is application of rock bolts. A rock bolt is a long anchor bolt, for stabilizing rock excavations, which may be used in tunnels or rock slopes. It transfers load from the unstable exterior to the confined interior of the rock mass. The rock bolts are almost always installed in a pattern, the design of which depends on the rock quality designation and the type of excavation [1].

Rock bolts have been used for years to reinforce the surface and near surface rock of excavated or natural slopes. They are used to improve the stability and load bearing characteristics of a rock mass. When rock bolts are used to reinforce a fractured rock mass, the rock bolts will be subjected to tension, shear and compressive forces. The studies have been done by researchers [2, 3, 4] to reinforce the slopes with rock anchoring. A general rule for rock bolts is that the distance between rock bolts should be approximately equal to three times the average spacing of the planes of weakness in the rock mass, and the bolt length should be twice the bolt spacing [5].

Tunnels excavate in various rock masses and ground conditions with different modes of behavior. The way the rock masses surrounding a tunnel behave is very important. The behavior of steep ground largely depends on the degree of surface dip and the shape and size of underground excavation. The ground behavior can be assessed via ground conditions with various project features. The rock masses whose strength is lower than the surrounding stress can be considered as weak rocks. The behavior of weak rocks in tunnels has led to problems during the construction of a number of projects. The ratio of rock mass strength to the in situ stress value specifies that deformations induce stability problems in the tunnel. The analysis of circular tunnels excavated in weak rocks under hydrostatic stress fields has been one of the principal sources of knowledge.

Excavating underground structures in rock mass, causes stress changes in the underground environment and this phenomenon can cause displacements in these areas. Also the displacements caused by excavation may cause induced stress on the support system of the tunnels and finally can end with instability of the tunnel surrounding area [6].

Furthermore, applying the earthquake to the tunnel can cause compressive and tensile stresses too which can lead to the destruction of a temporary tunnel supporting system or even to a complete closure of the tunnel cross section [7].

In this research in order to study the effect of seismic coefficients direction on the axial force of rock bolts, the circular tunnels with diameter of 6 meters and in different depths are modeled and the ground surface dip of 15 degrees is considered. Fig. 1. Shows model of circular tunnel with diameter of 6 meters, in depth of 35 meters that created for analysis the tunnels behavior.



Fig. 1. The model of tunnel with diameter of 6 meters and in depth of 35 meters in steep ground. The ground surface dip is 15 degrees to the horizon.

II. THE PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SHALE ROCKS

The rock mass properties such as the rock mass strength (σ_{cm}), the rock mass deformation modulus (E_m) and the rock mass constants (mb, s and a) were calculated by the RocLab program defined by [8] (Table 1). This program has been developed to provide a convenient means of solving and plotting the equations presented by [8].

In RocLab program, both the rock mass strength and deformation modulus were calculated using equations of [8]. In addition, the rock mass constants were estimated using equations of Geological Strength Index (GSI) [8] together with the value of the shale material constant (mi). Also, the value of disturbance factor (D) that depends on the amount of disturbance in the rock mass associated with the excavation method was considered equal to 0.2 for the shale rocks in Table 1.

Table 1. Geomechanical parameters of shale rockmass obtained by using RocLab software.

Input and output of Roclab software							
Hoek-Brown classification				Heok-Brown criterion			
σ _{ci} (Mpa)	GSI	mi	D	Mb		S	а
Intact Uniaxial compres- sive streng	h index	Constant Hoel Brown criterio for intact rock	n ance	Heok-Brown criterion			
35	32	6	0.2	0.404	0.	0003	0.520
Parameters of the Mohr-Coulomb equivalent		Rock mass Parameters					
Mohr-Coulomb Fit		Rock Mass Parameters					
C (Mpa)	φ (degree)	σ _t (Mpa)	σ _c (Mpa)	σ _{cm} (Ν	lpa)	Erm	(Mpa)
Cohe-sion	Friction angle	Tensile strength	Uniaxial compress-ive strength	e Glob streng			mati-on dulus
0.079	54.04	-0.026	0.522	2.70	00	495	



Fig. 2. The Hoek-Brown failure envelope of shale rock masses in the depth of 10 meters.



Fig. 3. The Hoek-Brown failure envelope of shale rock masses in the depth of 25 meters.





The Hoek-Brown failure envelope of shale rock masses for different depths is obtained and presented in Figs. 2 to 4.

In order to achieve more accurate results, material properties defined for each depths of tunnels separately and individually applied to different models with different depths.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

Numerical analyses are done using a twodimensional hybrid element model, called Phase² Finite Element Program [9]. This software is used to simulate the two-dimensional excavation of a tunnel. In this finite element simulation, based on the elastoplastic analysis, deformations and stresses are computed. These analyses used for evaluations of the tunnel stability in the rock masses. The geomechanical properties for these analyses are extracted from Table 1. The generalized Hoek and Brown failure criterion is used to identify elements undergoing yielding and the displacements of the rock masses in the tunnel surrounding.

To simulate the excavation of tunnels in the shale rock masses, a finite element models is generated for circular tunnels with diameter of 6 meters and in depths of 10, 25 and 35 meters. Also the dip of 15 degrees to the horizon is considered for the ground surface. The six-nodded triangular elements are used in the finite element mesh. The end anchored bolts with length of 3 meters and spacing of 2 meters is used for reinforcement of tunnels. Fig. 5. shows different depths of tunnels modeling.



Fig. 5. The modeling of the circular tunnel with a diameter of 6 meters, in depths of 10, 25 and 35 meters. The dip of ground surface is 15 degrees.

A set of numerical analysis case studies were carried out to investigate the effect of horizontal and vertical seismic coefficient in steep ground using the pseudo-static seismic loading procedure. Four seismic loading scenarios, as shown in below are applied to the models.

At first, it's necessary to mention that when horizontal seismic coefficient (K_h) is positive, it applies to right side and when it's negative, applies to left side. For vertical seismic coefficient (K_v), positive value means upward and negative value means downward.



1) K_h = + value and K_v = 0. In this case the effect of vertical seismic coefficient ignored and equal to zero considered.

2) $K_h = +$ value and $K_v = +$ value too. This seismic loading scenario considers a positive horizontal and vertical seismic coefficient. In this case, the vertical seismic coefficient is adding an inertial force and in the opposite direction as the downward force due to gravity.

3) $K_h = +$ value and $K_v = -$ value. This loading case the sign of the vertical seismic coefficient is negative. Thus, the inertial force, simulating seismic loading, is in the same direction with gravitational force and therefore is added to the self weight.

4) $K_h = -$ value and $K_v = -$ value too. In this case the direction of horizontal seismic coefficient is in negative direction. This case was established to investigate the influence of direction of horizontal seismic coefficient on the axial force of rock bolts.

All the horizontal and vertical seismic coefficients are calculated for the earthquakes with magnitudes of 6.5, 7, 7.5 and 8 on the Richter scale, by equations presented in [10].

Figs. 6 to 9. show the results of horizontal and vertical seismic coefficients applying modes and the values of rock bolts' axial forces for a tunnel with a diameter of 6 meters and in depth of 10 meters. The dip of ground surface is 15 degrees and the earthquakes magnitude is 8 on the Richter scale.



Fig. 6. Contour plot of major principal stress (σ_1) and axial force of rock bolts for case 1: $K_h = 0.396$ and $K_v = 0$.



Fig. 7. Contour plot of major principal stress (σ_1) and axial force of rock bolts for case 2: $K_h = 0.396$ and $K_v = 0.198$.



Fig. 8. Contour plot of major principal stress (σ_1) and axial force of rock bolts for case 3: $K_h = 0.396$ and $K_v = -0.198$.



Fig. 9. Contour plot of major principal stress (σ_1) and axial force of rock bolts for case 4: $K_h = -0.396$ and $K_v = -0.198$.

As we can see, in steep surfaces, the alignment of horizontal acceleration of seismic coefficient (Kh) with steep direction and the same direction of the vertical seismic coefficient (K_v) with gravitational force had resulted in the highest axial force of rock bolts that shown in Fig. 8. But when the horizontal seismic coefficient (K_h) is in opposite direction of ground surface dip (Fig. 9.), the lowest axial force of rock bolts been obtained because it reduces has the displacement of tunnel. In this condition, the rock bolts are under lower tensile stresses which is presented as the axial force for them.



Fig. 10. Variations of axial force in terms of earthquake magnitudes for a circular tunnel with diameter of 6 meters, in depth of 10 meters.



Fig. 11. Variations of axial force in terms of earthquake magnitudes for a circular tunnel with diameter of 6 meters, in depth of 25 meters.



Fig. 12. Variations of axial force in terms of earthquake magnitudes for a circular tunnel with diameter of 6 meters, in depth of 35 meters.

Figs. 10 to 12. represent axial force of rock bolts variations in different earthquake magnitudes. As the results show, with increasing the earthquake

magnitude, the variations of axial force has increased for first, second and third seismic loading scenarios but, in fourth mode that it results shown with purple curves, we see very small variations due to opposite direction of horizontal seismic coefficient with steep direction.

It is important to note that with increasing the tunnel depth, the growth rate of axial force variations has decreased. Because the effect of earthquake acceleration and the effect of ground surface dip on the tunnel, gradually reduced in this condition and finally the stresses applied to the tunnel and it displacements due to mentioned factors decreased.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The results of the evaluations show that in steep surface, the alignment of horizontal acceleration of seismic coefficient (K_h) with direction of ground surface dip and the same direction of the vertical seismic coefficient (K_v) with gravitational force had resulted in the highest axial force of rock bolts. Also, the opposite direction of horizontal seismic coefficient (Kh) with ground surface dip leads to obtaining the lowest axial force of rock bolts because of tunnel displacement reduction and lower tensile stresses which is presented as the axial force of rock bolts. Furthermore, with increasing the earthquake magnitude, the variations of axial forces have increased for first (K_h = + value and $K_v = 0$, second ($K_h = +$ value and $K_v =$ + value) and third (K_h = + value and K_v = - value) seismic loading scenarios but, in fourth mode ($K_h = K_v = -$ value), results show very value and small variations because of the opposite direction of horizontal seismic coefficient with direction of ground surface dip. Moreover, with increasing the tunnel depth, the growth rate of axial force variations has decreased. Because the impact of earthquake acceleration and the effect of ground surface dip on the tunnel gradually reduced with increasing depth factor.

REFERENCES

[1] W.J. Gale, C. Mark, D.C. Oyler, and J. Chen, "Computer Simulation of Ground Behavior and Rock Bolt Interaction at Emerald Mine 2004". Proc. 23rd Intl. Conf. on Ground Control in Mining, Morgantown, WV, Morgantown, WV: West Virginia University; 2004, 27-34.

[2] A.C. Kliche, "Rock slope stability. Society for Mining Metallurgy". USA, 1999.

[3] D.C. Wyllie, and C.W. Mah, "Rock slope engineering", Fourth edition. London, Spon Press, 2004.

[4] T. Ramamurthy, "Engineering in rocks for slopes, foundation and tunnels", Prentice Hall of India Private Limited, New Delhi, India, 2007.

[5] E. Hoek, and D.F. Wood, "Rock Support", Mining Magazine, 1988 ,159, 4, 282-287. [6] T. Solak, "Ground behavior evaluation for tunnels in blocky rock masses", Tunneling and Underground Space Technology, 24, 323-330, 2009.

[7] K. Kovári, "Tunneling in Squeezing Rock", Tunnel, 5/98, 12-31, 1998.

[8] E. Hoek, C. Carranza-Torres, and B. Corkum, "Hoek–Brown Failure Criterion-2002 Edition". Rocscience, 2002.

[9] Rocscience, "A 2D finite element program for calculating stresses and estimating support around the underground excavations". Geomechanics Software and Research. Rocscience Inc., Toronto, Ontario, Canada, 1999.

[10] A., Kaynia, "Personal communication", 2011.